AUTORES/AUTHORS

PALAVRAS CHAVES/KEY WORDS

CLUSTERS OF GALAXIES;
EVOLUTION OF

AUTORIZADA POR/AUTHORIZED BY

Volker W.J.H. Kirchhoff
Director Space Atmos. Sci

AUTOR RESPONSÁVEL
RESPONSIBLE AUTHOR

Hugo V. Capelato

DISTRIBUIÇÃO/DISTRIBUTION

☐ INTERNA / INTERNAL
☒ EXTERNA / EXTERNAL
☐ RESTRITA / RESTRICTED

REVISADA POR/REVISED BY

Osmar Pinto Júnior
Editor Space Atmos. Sci.

CDU/UDC

524.77

DATA / DATE

setembro 1990

TÍTULO/TITLE

PUBLICAÇÃO Nº
PUBLICATION NO

INPE-5128-PRE/1616

LOW LEVELS OF LUMINOSITY SEGREGATION IN
CLUSTERS OF GALAXIES: EVIDENCE FOR THEIR
DYNAMICAL YOUTH?

ORIGEM
ORIGIN

DAS

PROJETO
PROJECT

EXTRA

Nº DE PAG. NO OF PAGES	ULTIMA PAG. LAST PAGE
05	04

VERSÃO VERSION	Nº DE MAPAS NO OF MAPS

AUTORES/AUTHORSHIP

Laerte Sodré Jr.
Hugo V. Capelato

RESUMO-NOTAS/ABSTRACT-NOTES

We present preliminary results of a study on the projected galaxy distribution of a homogeneous sample of 14 rich clusters for which the photometry was given by Colless (1989). We find that more than a half of these clusters are luminosity segregated at low levels. We compare our results with those coming from large scale samples to suggest that these clusters are dynamically young.

INPE-149

LOW LEVELS OF LUMINOSITY SEGREGATION IN CLUSTERS OF GALAXIES: EVIDENCE FOR THEIR DYNAMICAL YOUTH?

Laerte Sodré Jr[*]. and Hugo V. Capelato[*]

Departamento de Astrofísica
Instituto de Pesquisas Espaciais, Brazil

RESUMO. Apresentamos resultados preliminares de um estudo da distribu-
ção projetada de galáxias em uma amostra homogênea de 14 aglomerados
ricos com fotometria feita por Colless (1989). Encontramos que mais da
metade destes aglomerados estão segregados em luminosidade, ainda que
com baixa significância. Comparamos estes resultados com aqueles pro-
venientes de amostras da distribuição das galáxias em grandes escalas
e sugerimos que estes aglomerados são dinamicamente jovens.

ABSTRACT. We present preliminary results of a study on the projected
galaxy distribution of a homogeneous sample of 14 rich clusters for
which the photometry was given by Colless (1989). We find that more
than a half of these clusters are luminosity segregated at low levels.
We compare our results with those coming from large scale samples to
suggest that these clusters are dynamically young.

Key Words:

I. INTRODUCTION

The possibility of ocurrence of luminosity segregation in rich clusters of
galaxies has been associated to either, or both, of two processes: i) it may be originated at
very initial epoch of cluster formation, as predicted by some biased galaxy formation
scenarios in which the more luminous galaxies collapse and start their clustering evolution
earlier than the fainter ones (Dekel and Silk, 1986); ii) it also may be due to the dynamical
friction of the cluster dark matter substractum acting on the more massive (and therefore
luminous) galaxies, causing them to spiral towards the cluster center (Lecar, 1975), therefore
enhancing their central concentration. In this case the phenomena of luminosity segregation in
clusters would much more reflect their dynamical aging rather than the initial conditions at
formation. Clearly both processes should be playing a role in real clusters, with the
dynamical friction acting towards the enhancement of a primordial luminosity segregation or
even leading to the formation of central giant dominant galaxies.

It is therefore important to try to assess the relative importance of these two
processes in the observed clusters. One possibility consists of estimating the level of the
luminosity segregation displayed by a representative sample of rich clusters of galaxies and
then compare it with similar results coming from the analysis of representative samples of the
spatial distribution of galaxies at scales larger than cluster scales which we may suppose
have suffered little dynamical evolution leading to luminosity segregation.

Table 1. The Cluster Sample

Cluster[1]		Type[2]	Redshift	σ_V(km/s)
CO2	A2717	I-II	0.04925	547
C03	A2721	II	0.11598	1011
C19	A3126	III	0.08588	1030
C20	DC0329-52	III	0.05938	854
C21	A458	I	0.10560	631
AC1	A3225	II-III	0.05489	1072
C30	A3334	I-II	0.09655	615
C31	A3360	III	0.08450	769
C37	A3705	III	0.08967	927
C39	A3716	III	0.04818	453
C52	A3854	II	0.14922	1180
C64	A2538	II-III	0.08291	821
C65	A2554	I-II	0.11094	797
C67	S1157	I-II	0.05846	726

[1] Colless (1989) catalogue identification and other designations
[2] Bautz-Morgan type (from Colless 1989)

II. MEASURING THE LUMINOSITY SEGREGATION

In this work we have analysed the homogeneous sample of 14 southern clusters of galaxies given by Colless (1989) (see table 1). For each cluster the galaxies were grouped in 1 Mag bins, according to their B_J magnitudes and the clustering level in each bin was estimated using three distinct non-parametric estimators:(a) the arithmetic (λ_1) and (b)the harmonic (λ_{-1}) means of the projected separations of pairs of galaxies, as defined by Capelato et al. (1980) in their study of the luminosity segregation in the Coma cluster;(c) The mean $(<\sigma>)$ of the local surface density of galaxies in each magnitude bin computed according to the prescription of Casertano and Hut (1985) using the distance to the 6th neighbour. These mean densities were normalised to the mean density of the cluster inside a $1h_{100}$ Mpc circular region centered at the cluster center.

In order to avoid problems with the possible presence of sub-structures inside the clusters, we have restricted our analysis to galaxies within $1h_{100}$ Mpc from the center of the clusters, which was calculated by simply averaging over the galaxy positions. Because of the small total number, galaxies brighter than $M_B = -20$ were all grouped in the same magnitude bin. This magnitude is close to the value of the characteristic M_B^* of the Schechter luminosity function found by Colless (1989) for these clusters.

We found that 8 out of the 14 clusters do exibit some degree of luminosity segregation: CO2, AC1, C30, C31, C37, C52, C64, C65. However, the measured luminosity segregation level is weak, that is, with low statistical significance. In figure 1 we present the median profiles of our three measurements for these 8 clusters. This figure shows that the galaxies brighter than M_B^* are essentially the ones that appear to be segregated.

III. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Our analysis of the projected galaxy distribution suggests that more than half of the clusters of Colless's sample show some level of luminosity segregation, although with low statistical significance for the majority of these clusters. These results are consistent with previous analyses (e.g., Quintana 1979, Capelato et al 1980) which have also found some level of luminosity segregation in some clusters.

What is, on theoretical grounds, the expected level of luminosity segregation due to the dynamical processes (e.g. dynamical friction and two-body relaxation) ocurring inside a rich cluster? The answer to this question depends essentially on the relative contribution to the cluster total mass of galaxies and dark matter. From one side, the analysis of White

(1976) shows that if all the cluster mass, as estimated by the virial theorem, is attached to galaxies, one should observe a strong luminosity segregation. The simulations of Farouki and Salpeter (1982) and Farouki, Hoffman and Salpeter (1983, FHS) confirm this point. In fact, in these simulations, a strong mass segregation is stablished soon after the cluster collapse. Such an amount of segregation is not observed. On the other side, if the cluster mass is dominated by dark matter, the characteristic time scale for orbital decay of a m^* galaxy due to dynamical friction (Spitzer 1962) is close to or less than the Hubble time and thus only a mild segregation is expected, more in agreement with the results presented here.

Median Profiles

Fig. 1. Median profiles of luminosity segregation measures for 8 clusters

From another side, we should also ask how much of the observed luminosity segregation may be attributed to the initial conditions prevailing at clusters formation epoch. The study of the two-point correlation function of galaxies in "fair samples of the Universe" shows in fact that there is an increase of the correlation length with the luminosity of galaxies, at least up to scales of 5-10h$_{100}$ Mpc (Davis et al. 1988, Boerner et al. 1989). Although part of this effect may be assigned to dynamically evolved structures with typical scales less than, say 2h$_{100}$ Mpc, for the larger scales it seems difficult to see how stellar dynamical processes could have erased the initial conditions of the distribution of galaxies. A direct comparison with our results can be made possible through the mean local surface density of galaxies. We find that for the 8 luminosity segregated clusters the median value of $<\sigma>$ increases about 35% when going from the fainter than $B_j = -20$ galaxies to the brighter ones (cf. Figure 1). Exactly the same amount of increase was found by Davis et al.(1989) for the mean of the normalized number of galaxy neighbors within a 6h$_{100}$ Mpc sphere (note that the Zwicky magnitudes used by these authors almost coincide with those of Colless). Although the numerical coincidence should be regarded as fortuitous, it suggests that the level of the luminosity segregation measured in those clusters just reflects the initial conditions of clusters formation. We are lead to conclude that as far as our clusters sample may be considered as representative (an open question), the nearby rich clusters of galaxies do not seem to have suffered, in average, to much dynamical evolution since their collapse and

virialization. However, in view of all the incertitudes of this kind of analysis, this conclusion must be regarded with a lot of caution, waiting a more extensive study to be done.

REFERENCES

Capelato, H.V.; Gerbal, D.; Mathez, G.; Mazure, A.; Salvador-Solw, E.; Sol, H., 1980, Ap. J. 241, 521.
Casertano, S.; Hut, P., 1985, Ap. J. 298, 80.
Colless, M., 1989, MNRAS 237, 799.
Farouki, R.T.; Hoffman, G.L.; Salpeter, E.E., 1983, Ap. J. 271, 11.
Farouki, R.T.; Salpeter, E.E., 1982, Ap. J. 253, 512.
Lecar, M., 1975, in IAU Symposium 69, Dynamics of Stellar Systems, ed. A. Hayli (Dordrecht, Reidel).
Quintana, H., 1979, A.J. 84, 15.
Spitzer, L., 1962, Physics of Fully Ionized Gases (New York, Wiley).
White, S.D.M., 1977, MNRAS 179, 33.

Hugo V. Capelato, Laerte Sodré Jr.: Departamento de Astrofísica, Instituto de Pesquisas Espaciais, C.P. 515, 12201 São José dos Campos, São Paulo, Brasil.

MFN= 002977
01 SID/SCD
02 5128
03 INPE-5128-PRE/1616
04 CEA
05 S
06 as
10 Sodre Jr.,L
10 Capelato,Hugo Vicente
12 Low levels of luminosity segregation in clusters of
   galaxies: evidence for their dynamical youth?
14 65-68
30 Revista Mexicana de Astronomia y Astrofisica
31 21
32 Numero Especial
40 En
41 Es
41 En
42 <E>
58 DAS
61 <PI>
64 <1990>
68 PRE
76 ASTROFISICA
83 We present preliminary results of a study on the
   projected galaxy distribution of a homogeneous sample of
   14 rich clusters for which the photometry was given by
   Colless (1989). We find that more than a half of these
   clusters are luminosity segregated at low levels. We
   compare our results with those coming from large scale
   samples to suggest that these clusters are dynamically
   young.
88 CLUSTERS
88 GALAXIES
90 b
91 FDB-19960322
92 FDB-MLR

LOW LEVELS OF LUMINOSITY SEGREGATION IN CLUSTERS OF GALAXIES: EVIDENCE FOR THEIR DYNAMICAL YOUTH?

Laerte Sodré Jr[*], and Hugo V. Capelato[*]

Departamento de Astrofísica
Instituto de Pesquisas Espaciais, Brazil

RESUMO. Apresentamos resultados preliminares de um estudo da distribuição projetada de galáxias em uma amostra homogênea de 14 aglomerados ricos com fotometria feita por Colless (1989). Encontramos que mais da metade destes aglomerados estão segregados em luminosidade, ainda que com baixa significância. Comparamos estes resultados com aqueles provenientes de amostras da distribuição das galáxias em grandes escalas e sugerimos que estes aglomerados são dinamicamente jovens.

ABSTRACT. We present preliminary results of a study on the projected galaxy distribution of a homogeneous sample of 14 rich clusters for which the photometry was given by Colless (1989). We find that more than a half of these clusters are luminosity segregated at low levels. We compare our results with those coming from large scale samples to suggest that these clusters are dynamically young.

key words: CLUSTERS-GALAXIES

I. INTRODUCTION

The possibility of ocurrence of luminosity segregation in rich clusters of galaxies has been associated to either, or both, of two processes: i) it may be originated at very initial epoch of cluster formation, as predicted by some biased galaxy formation scenarios in which the more luminous galaxies collapse and start their clustering evolution earlier than the fainter ones (Dekel and Silk, 1986); ii) it also may be due to the dynamical friction of the cluster dark matter substractum acting on the more massive (and therefore luminous) galaxies, causing them to spiral towards the cluster center (Lecar, 1975), therefore enhancing their central concentration. In this case the phenomena of luminosity segregation in clusters would much more reflect their dynamical aging rather than the initial conditions at formation. Clearly both processes should be playing a role in real clusters, with the dynamical friction acting towards the enhancement of a primordial luminosity segregation or even leading to the formation of central giant dominant galaxies.

It is therefore important to try to assess the relative importance of these two processes in the observed clusters. One possibility consists of estimating the level of the luminosity segregation displayed by a representative sample of rich clusters of galaxies and then compare it with similar results coming from the analysis of representative samples of the spatial distribution of galaxies at scales larger than cluster scales which we may suppose have suffered little dynamical evolution leading to luminosity segregation.

[*] On leave of absence from Instituto Astronômico e Geofísico da Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil.

II. MEASURING THE LUMINOSITY SEGREGATION

In this work we have analysed the homogeneous sample of 14 southern clusters of galaxies given by Colless (1989) (see table 1). For each cluster the galaxies were grouped in 1 Mag bins, according to their B_J magnitudes and the clustering level in each bin was estimated using three distinct non-parametric estimators:(a) the arithmetic (λ_1) and (b)the harmonic (λ_{-1}) means of the projected separations of pairs of galaxies, as defined by Capelato et al. (1980) in their study of the luminosity segregation in the Coma cluster;(c) The mean $(<\sigma>)$ of the local surface density of galaxies in each magnitude bin computed according to the prescription of Casertano and Hut (1985) using the distance to the 6th neighbour. These mean densities were normalised to the mean density of the cluster inside a $1h_{100}$ Mpc circular region centered at the cluster center.

Table 1. The Cluster Sample

Cluster[1]		Type[2]	Redshift	σ_V(km/s)
C02	A2717	I-II	0.04925	547
C03	A2721	II	0.11598	1011
C19	A3126	III	0.08588	1030
C20	DC0329-52	III	0.05938	854
C21	A458	I	0.10560	631
AC1	A3225	II-III	0.05489	1072
C30	A3334	I-II	0.09655	615
C31	A3360	III	0.08450	769
C37	A3705	III	0.08967	927
C39	A3716	III	0.04818	453
C52	A3854	II	0.14922	1180
C64	A2538	II-III	0.08291	821
C65	A2554	I-II	0.11094	797
C67	S1157	I-II	0.05846	726

[1] Colless (1989) catalogue identification and other designations
[2] Bautz-Morgan type (from Colless 1989)

In order to avoid problems with the possible presence of sub-structures inside the clusters, we have restricted our analysis to galaxies within $1h_{100}$ Mpc from the center of the clusters, which was calculated by simply averaging over the galaxy positions. Because of the small total number, galaxies brighter than $M_B = -20$ were all grouped in the same magnitude bin. This magnitude is close to the value of the characteristic M_B^* of the Schechter luminosity function found by Colless (1989) for these clusters.

We found that 8 out of the 14 clusters do exibit some degree of luminosity segregation: C02, AC1, C30, C31, C37, C52, C64, C65. However, the measured luminosity segregation level is weak, that is, with low statistical significance. In figure 1 we present the median profiles of our three measurements for these 8 clusters. This figure shows that the galaxies brighter than M_B^* are essentially the ones that appear to be segregated.

III. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

Our analysis of the projected galaxy distribution suggests that more than half of the clusters of Colless's sample show some level of luminosity segregation, although with low statistical significance for the majority of these clusters. These results are consistent with previous analyses (e.g., Quintana 1979, Capelato et al 1980) which have also found some level of luminosity segregation in some clusters.

Fig. 1. Median profiles of luminosity segregation measures for 8 clusters

What is, on theoretical grounds, the expected level of luminosity segregation due to the dynamical processes (e.g. dynamical friction and two-body relaxation) ocurring inside a rich cluster? The answer to this question depends essentially on the relative contribution to the cluster total mass of galaxies and dark matter. From one side, the analysis of White (1977) shows that if all the cluster mass, as estimated by the virial theorem, is attached to galaxies, one should observe a strong luminosity segregation. The simulations of Farouki and Salpeter (1982) and Farouki, Hoffman and Salpeter (1983, FHS) confirm this point. In fact, in these simulations, a strong mass segregation is established soon after the cluster collapse. Such an amount of segregation is not observed. On the other side, if the cluster mass is dominated by dark matter, the characteristic time scale for orbital decay of a m^* galaxy due to dynamical friction (Spitzer 1962) is close to or less than the Hubble time and thus only a mild segregation is expected, more in agreement with the results presented here.

From another side, we should also ask how much of the observed luminosity segregation may be attributed to the initial conditions prevailing at cluster formation epoch. The study of the two-point correlation function of galaxies in "fair samples of the Universe" shows in fact that there is an increase of the correlation length with the luminosity of galaxies, at least up to scales of 5–10h_{100} Mpc (Davis et al. 1988, Boerner et al. 1989). Although part of this effect may be assigned to dynamically evolved structures with typical scales less than, say 2h_{100} Mpc, for the larger scales it seems difficult to see how stellar dynamical processes could have erased the initial conditions of the distribution of galaxies. A direct comparison with our results can be made possible through the mean local surface density of galaxies. We find that for the 8 luminosity segregated clusters the median value of <σ> increases about 35% when going from the fainter than B_j = -20 galaxies to the brighter ones (cf. Figure 1). Exactly the same amount of increase was found by Davis et al.(1989) for the mean of the normalized number of galaxy neighbors within a 6h_{100} Mpc sphere (note that the Zwicky magnitudes used by these authors almost coincide with those of Colless). Although the numerical coincidence should be regarded as fortuitous, it suggests that the level of the luminosity segregation measured in those clusters just reflects the initial conditions of cluster formation. We are lead to conclude that as far as our cluster sample may be considered as representative (an open question), the nearby rich clusters of galaxies do not seem to have suffered, in average, much dynamical evolution since their collapse and virialization. However, in view of all the incertitudes of this kind of analysis, this conclusion must be regarded with caution, waiting a more extensive study to be done.

It is a pleasure to thank C. Kotanyi for a helpful discussion.

REFERENCES

Boerner, G.; Deng, Z.-G.; Xia, X.-Y., 1989, Astron. Astrophys. 209, 1.
Davis, M.; Meiksin, A.; Strauss, M.A.; da Costa, L.N.; Yahil, A., 1988, Ap. J. 333, L9.
Dekel, A.; Silk, J., 1986, Ap. J. 303, 39.
Capelato, H.V.; Gerbal, D.; Mathez, G.; Mazure, A.; Salvador-Sole, E.; Sol, H., 1980, Ap. J.
    241, 521.
Casertano, S.; Hut, P., 1985, Ap. J. 298, 80.
Colless, M., 1989, MNRAS 237, 799.
Farouki, R.T.; Hoffman, G.L.; Salpeter, E.E., 1983, Ap. J. 271, 11.
Farouki, R.T.; Salpeter, E.E., 1982, Ap. J. 253, 512.
Lecar, M., 1975, in IAU Symposium 69, Dynamics of Stellar Systems, ed. A. Hayli (Dordrecht,
    Reidel).
Quintana, H., 1979, A.J. 84, 15.
Spitzer, L., 1962, Physics of Fully Ionized Gases (New York, Wiley).
White, S.D.M., 1977, MNRAS 179, 33.

Hugo V. Capelato, Laerte Sodré Jr.: Departamento de Astrofísica, Instituto de Pesquisas
    Espaciais, C.P. 515, 12201 São José dos Campos, São Paulo, Brazil.