

1. Publication Nº <i>INPE-4147-PRE/1054</i>	2. Version	3. Date <i>April 1987</i>	5. Distribution <input type="checkbox"/> Internal <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> External <input type="checkbox"/> Restricted
4. Origin <i>DMC</i>	Program <i>A81</i>		
6. Key words - selected by the author(s) <i>DISTRIBUTED PARAMETER SYSTEMS SEMIGROUP</i> <i>NONLINEAR SYSTEMS BANACH SPACES</i> <i>CONTROLLABILITY</i>			
7. U.D.C.: <i>681.516.73</i>			
8. Title <i>INPE-4147-PRE/1054</i> <i>SOME ASPECTS OF CONTROL FOR SEMILINEAR DISTRIBUTED PARAMETER SYSTEMS</i>		10. Nº of pages: <i>7</i>	
		11. Last page: <i>6</i>	
9. Authorship <i>J.A.M. Felipe de Souza</i>		12. Revised by <i>Roberto V. Fonseca Lopes</i>	
Responsible author <i>J. A. M. Felipe de Souza</i>		13. Authorized by <i>Marco Antonio Raupp</i> Director General	
14. Abstract/Notes <i>This paper presents some aspects of control for nonlinear systems of the type $\dot{z} = Az + Nz + Bu$, where A is linear, N is nonlinear, B is linear, z is the state and u is the control. It is assumed that the dynamics of the linear part (i.e., $\dot{z} = Az$) can be described in terms of a strongly continuous semigroup on an appropriate Banach space (the state space), so that this formulation includes distributed parameter systems and delay systems, as well as lumped parameter systems. Theoretical results in controllability are obtained by using fixed point theorems. It is considered the space X of functions from the interval $[0, T]$ to Z (the possible trajectories of the system). In this setting, some mappings $F: X \rightarrow X$ are constructed and the solution of the problem of nonlinear controllability is obtained via the fixed points of such F's.</i>			
15. Remarks <i>This paper has been presented at the IV Encontro Regional de Matemática Aplicada e Computacional (IV ERMAC) held in Belo Horizonte - MG, from 26 to 28 of March, 1987).</i>			

SOME ASPECTS OF CONTROL FOR SEMILINEAR
DISTRIBUTED PARAMETER SYSTEMS

J.A.M. Felipe de Souza

Instituto de Pesquisas Espaciais - INPE

Caixa Postal 515

12201 - São José dos Campos, SP - Brazil

Here we consider semilinear systems of the type

$$\dot{z} = Az + Nz + Bu, \quad z(0) = z_0, \quad (1)$$

where A is a linear operator on a Banach space Z (the state space), N a nonlinear operator, B a linear operator from an input space U to Z and u the control.

The problem of controllability is to find a control $u \in \mathcal{U}$ (where \mathcal{U} is a space of functions from $[0, T]$ to the input space U and assumed to be a reflexive Banach space) which drives the system from the initial state z_0 at $t = 0$ to a given desired $z_d \in Z$ at $t = T$.

As an example of system (1), consider the following diffusion process on $Z = L^2(0, 1)$ described by the partial differential equation.

$$\frac{\partial z}{\partial t}(x, t) = \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2}(x, t) + Nz(x, t) + u(x, t) \quad (2)$$

with boundary and initial conditions

$$z_x(0, t) = z_x(1, t) = 0, \quad z(x, 0) = z_0(x),$$

where $b(\cdot) \in L^2(0, 1)$ and N is a nonlinear operator on $L^2(0, 1)$ such as

$$Nz = z^4, \quad zz_x, \quad z^2 z_x, \text{ etc.}$$

The above system can be expressed in the form (1) by setting

$U = L^2(0, 1)$, B the identity on U and A the linear operator on $L^2(0, 1)$

$$Az = \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2} = z_{xx}$$

with domain

$$D(A) = \{z \in L^2(0,1): \frac{\partial^2 z}{\partial x^2} \in L^2(0,1), \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} = 0 \text{ at } x = 0,1\}$$

It can be shown (see p. 46 of [1]) that A generates a strongly continuous semigroup $S(t)$ on Z given by

$$S(t)z = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-n^2 \pi^2 t} \phi_n \langle z, \phi_n \rangle, \text{ where } \phi_n(x) = \sqrt{2} \sin n\pi x.$$

Fixed point theorems have been used since the beginning of the century to show local existence theorems for differential equations. Recently, several papers [2-11] have been published using fixed point theorems to provide existence of solutions of the problem of nonlinear controllability.

The nonlinear control problem (1) written in the mild form is.

$$z(t) = S(t)z_0 + L(t)Nz(.) + L(t)Bu. \quad (3)$$

For $t = T$ this equation becomes

$$z(T) - S(T)z_0 - L(T)Nz(.) = L(T)Bu = Gu.$$

Now define $u^* \in U$ by

$$u^* = G^\dagger [z_d - S(T)z_0 - L(T)Nz^*(.)]. \quad (4)$$

So, the control u^* depends on the knowledge of the actual trajectory $z^*(.)$ of the system. Substituting $z(.)$ and u in (3) by $z^*(.)$ and u^* given in (4), one gets

$$z^*(.) = S(.)z_0 + L(.)Nz^*(.) + L(.)BG^\dagger [z_d - S(T)z_0 - L(T)Nz^*(.)],$$

which is an expression of the actual trajectory depending on itself.

Clearly, $z^*(.)$ is a fixed point of the mapping $\phi: X \rightarrow X$ defined by

$$\phi z(.) = S(.)z_0 + L(.)Nz(.) + L(.)BG^{\dagger}[z_d - S(T)z_0 - L(T)Nz(.)].$$

That is $z^*(.) = \phi z^*(.)$.

The aim is to impose conditions on the various operators so that the map ϕ has a fixed point on some suitable space of trajectories X . If for the moment this is assumed, then

$$(\phi z^*(.))(T) = S(T)z_0 + L(T)Nz^*(.) + GG^{\dagger}[z_d - S(T)z_0 - L(T)Nz^*(.)].$$

But GG^{\dagger} is the orthogonal projection of Z onto $\text{Range}(G)$, hence if

$$[z_d - S(T)z_0 - L(T)Nz^*(.)] \in \text{Range}(G),$$

then

$$(\phi z^*)(T) = z_d$$

and so $z^*(T) = z_d$.

Several fixed point theorems for ϕ have been developed in [2-11]. In most of these papers no attempt was made to optimize the conditions on the various operators and often crude estimations have been made. The crucial assumption here is that $\text{Range}(G)$ is closed in Z , since this means that G^{\dagger} is bounded (or continuous). The way one can achieve this can be illustrated by the following example.

Consider the nonlinear diffusion equation (2). If $U = L^2(0,T; L^2(0,1))$, it is easy to show that the linear part is exactly controllable to $H_0(0,1)$ which is not closed in $L^2(0,1)$ although, of course, it is dense. One way of ensuring that the $\text{Range}(G)$ is closed in Z is to choose $Z = H_0^1(0,1)$. The restriction of the semigroup $S(t)$ to H_0^1 is also a semigroup and for any small $\delta > 0$

$$S(.) \in L^1[0,T; L(H^{-1+\delta}(0,1), H_0^1(0,1))].$$

This allows for a large class of nonlinearities Nz such as z^4 , z_x^2 etc. [11].

Range (G) can also be made closed by enlarging the control space; for example let U be the space of functions from $[0, T]$ to $U = L^2(0, 1)$ defined by: $u \in U$ if

$$u(x, t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_n(t) \phi_n(x)$$

with the norm

$$\|u(\cdot, \cdot)\|^2 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^T (u_n^2(t) / 2n^2 \pi^2) dt.$$

Then, the linear system can be exactly controlled to $Z = L^2(0, 1)$. The semigroup $S(t)$ also satisfies

$$S(\cdot) \in L^1[0, T; (H^{-2+\delta}(0, 1), L^2(0, 1))],$$

so nonlinearities Nz , which are local contractions from $L^2(0, 1)$ to $H^{-2+\delta}(0, 1)$, can be allowed.

REFERENCES

- [1] Curtain, R.F., and A.J.Pritchard. *Infinite Dimensional Linear Systems Theory*. Lecture Notes in Control and Information Sciences, Vol. 8, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1978.
- [2] Carmichael, N., A.J. Pritchard, and M.D.Quinn. Control and State estimation of nonlinear systems. In *Evolution Equations and their Applications*. Proc. Conference on Differential Equations and Applications. University of Graz, Austria. Pitman Advanced Publishing Program, Boston, pp. 30-51, 1981.
- [3] Kassara, K., and A.El Jai. Algorithmes pour la commande d'une classe de systèmes a paramètres repartis non lineaires. *Journal Marocain D'Automatique D'Informatique et de Traitement du Signal*, 1983.

- [4] Magnusson, K., A.J.Pritchard, and M.D. Quinn. The application of fixed point theorems to global nonlinear controllability problems. *Proc. Semester on Control Theory*, Banach International Mathematical Centre, Warsaw, Poland, 1981.
- [5] Pritchard, A.J. The application of a fixed point theorem to nonlinear systems theory. *Proc. Third IMA Conference on Control Theory*, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, England, pp. 775-792, 1981.
- [6] Pritchard, A.J. Nonlinear infinite dimensional system theory. *Proc. Third IFAC Symposium on Control of Distributed Parameter Systems*, Toulouse, France, 1982.
- [7] Felipe De Souza, J.A.M. *Some Application of Projections in Nonlinear Control and Estimation*. Ph.D. Thesis, Control Theory Centre, University of Warwick, Coventry, England, 1983.
- [8] Felipe De Souza, J.A.M. Nonlinear control and estimation using fixed point theorems. In Tzafestas and Hamza (Ed.), *Methods and Applications of Measurements and Control*, Acta Press, Anaheim, California, Vol. 1, pp. 138-141, 1984.
- [9] Felipe De Souza, J.A.M. Control of nonlinear distributed parameter systems. In Ibarra-Zannatha (Ed.), *Proc. IV Coloquio de Control Automatico*, Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados del Instituto Politécnico Nacional de Mexico, Mexico, Vol. I, pp. 37-43, 1985.
- [10] Felipe De Souza, J.A.M. Control, state estimation and parameter identification of nonlinear distributed parameter systems using fixed point techniques: a survey. *Proc. Fourth IFAC Symposium Control of Distributed Parameter Systems*, Pasadena, California, USA, 1986.

- [11] Felipe De Souza, J.A.M., and A.J.Pritchard. Control of semilinear distributed parameter systems. In Felipe De Souza (Ed.), *Telecommunication and Control*, INPE, São José dos Campos, Brazil, pp. 160-164, 1985.



MINISTERIO DA CIÊNCIA E TECNOLOGIA

INSTITUTO DE PESQUISAS ESPACIAIS

PROPOSTA PARA PUBLICAÇÃO

DATA
11.07.87

IDENTIFICAÇÃO	TÍTULO	
	SOME ASPECT OF CONTROL FOR SEMILINEAR DISTRIBUTED PARAMETER SYSTEMS.	
	AUTORIA	PROJETO/PROGRAMA
	J.A.M. Felipe de Souza	
		DIVISÃO
		DEPARTAMENTO
		DMC
DIVULGAÇÃO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EXTERNA <input type="checkbox"/> INTERNA MEIO:		

REVISÃO TÉCNICA	REVISOR TÉCNICO	APROVADO: <input type="checkbox"/> SIM <input type="checkbox"/> NÃO <input type="checkbox"/> VER VERSO		APROVAÇÕES
	Roberto V.F. Lopes	DATA _____ CHEFE DIVISÃO _____		
	RECEBI EM: 11/2 REVISADO EM: 11/2	APROVADO: <input type="checkbox"/> SIM <input type="checkbox"/> NÃO <input type="checkbox"/> VER VERSO		
	OBSERVAÇÕES: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NÃO HÁ <input type="checkbox"/> VER VERSO	DATA _____ CHEFE DEPARTAMENTO _____		
	DEVOLVI EM: 11/2	ASSINATURA		

REVISÃO DE LINGUAGEM	Nº: 27	PRIORIDADE: 1	O(S) AUTOR(ES) DEVE(M) MENCIONAR NO VERSO, OU ANEXAR NORMAS E/OU INSTRUÇÕES ESPECIAIS		DATILOGRAFIA
	DATA: 11.02.87				
	REVISADO <input type="checkbox"/> COM <input type="checkbox"/> SEM	CORREÇÕES <input type="checkbox"/> VER VERSO	RECEBIDO EM: _____		
	POR: Maria do Carmo S. Lopes	ASSINATURA	CONCLUÍDO EM: _____		
	DATA: 11-02-87			DATILÓGRAFA: _____	

PARECER	
FAVORÁVEL: <input type="checkbox"/> SIM <input type="checkbox"/> NÃO	<input type="checkbox"/> VER <input type="checkbox"/> VERSO
DATA _____ RESPONSÁVEL/PROGRAMA _____	

EM CONDIÇÕES DE PUBLICAÇÃO EM: _____	AUTOR RESPONSÁVEL _____
--------------------------------------	-------------------------

AUTORIZO A PUBLICAÇÃO: <input type="checkbox"/> SIM <input type="checkbox"/> NÃO
DIVULGAÇÃO <input type="checkbox"/> INTERNA <input type="checkbox"/> EXTERNA MEIO: _____
OBSERVAÇÕES: _____
DATA _____ DIRETOR _____

SEC	PUBLICAÇÃO: 4147-PRE/1054	PÁGINAS: _____	ÚLTIMA PÁGINA: _____
	CÓPIAS: _____	TIPO: _____	PREÇO: _____